

SYNTHESIS AND *IN VITRO* CYTOTOXICITY OF HEXACYCLIC CAMPTOTHECIN ANALOGUES

Sang-sup Jew^{1*}, Hee-Jin Kim¹, Myoung Goo Kim¹, Eun-Young Roh¹, Chung Il Hong², Joon-Kyum Kim²,
Jun-Hee Lee², Heesoon Lee³, and Hyeung-geun Park^{1*}

¹College of Pharmacy, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, Korea


²Chong Kun Dang Pharmaceutical Co. Seoul 152-070, Korea

³College of Pharmacy, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Chungbuk 361-763, Korea

Received 25 August 1999; accepted 28 September 1999


Abstract: A series of C(7)-*N*-alkylaminoethyl-C(10), C(11)-methylenedioxy- and ethylenedioxy-camptothecin (**3a-g**, **4a-h**) were prepared. Their syntheses and *in vitro* cytotoxicity were reported. Among 15 derivatives, **3a** and **3b** showed more potent cytotoxicity than Camptothecin, especially in CAO V-3 cell line. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Camptothecin (CPT, C(20)(*S*)-1) is an alkaloid which was first isolated by Wani and co-workers from a Chinese tree, *Camptotheca acuminata* in 1966.¹ CPT has been widely studied as a potent antitumor agent which has a novel mechanism of action associated with the inhibition of Topoisomerase I (Topo I).² The drug development of CPT itself, which has a broad spectrum of antitumor activity, was discontinued by unpredicted toxicity in clinical trial,³ but the less toxicity of semi-synthetic analogues led to commercial antitumor drugs, Irinotecan⁴ and Topotecan.⁵ It has been possible to study the structure-activity relationship (SAR) owing to the developed total synthesis for CPT.⁶ According to the accumulated SAR studies,^{2,6,8} the introduction of polar groups at C(7), C(9), C(10), and C(11) on CPT generally showed enhanced cytotoxicity and less toxicity which was associated with the higher water solubility.^{5,7} Recently, we reported that C(7)-*N*-isopropylaminoethyl-CPT (**2**)⁸ showed enhanced cytotoxicity and lower toxicity in comparison with C(20)(*S*)-1 and Topotecan.⁹ The additional polar groups at C(9), C(10), and C(11) on **2** might lead even more potent analogues which have lower toxicity. Based on the viewpoint, we designed a series of C(10), C(11)-methylenedioxy or ethylenedioxy-C(7)-*N*-alkylaminoethyl-CPT analogues (**3**, **4**). We expected the additional polar groups could maximize the antitumor activity of **2**. In this paper the syntheses and *in vitro* cytotoxicity of **3** and **4** are reported.



1 R = H


2 R = -(CH₂)₂NHCH(CH₃)₂AcOH



3 R = alkyl, n = 1


4 R = alkyl, n = 2

Scheme 1



Conditions and reagents: i) RNH₂HCl, (CH₂O)_n, EtOH, c-HCl, reflux, ii) CbzCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, rt (6a, 60%; 6b, 50%; 6c, 14%; 6d, 30%; 6e, 10%; 6f, 25%; 6g, 45%), iii) Na₂S₂O₄, EtOH, reflux (7a, 42%; 7b, 63%; 7c, 58%; 7d, 35%; 7e, 86%; 7f, 62%; 7g, 75%), iv) 8, *p*-TsOH (cat.), toluene, reflux (9a, 26%; 9b, 76%; 9c, 78%; 9d, 65%; 9e, 71%; 9f, 37%; 9g, 54%), v) H₂, 10 % Pd on C, AcOH, rt (3a, 38%; 3b, 40%; 3c, 59%; 3d, 20%; 3e, 20%; 3f, 50%; 3g, 54%).

Scheme 2



Conditions and reagents: i) (CF₃CO)₂O, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, rt (94%), ii) 3-bromopropionyl chloride, AlCl₃, CH₂Cl₂, rt (88%), iii) RNH₂, CH₂Cl₂, rt, iv) CbzCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, rt (13a, 7%; 13b, 20%; 13c, 33%; 13d, 44%; 13e, 35%; 13f, 31%; 13g, 30%; 13h, 44%), v) LiOH, THF/H₂O, rt (14a, 32%; 14b, 53%; 14c, 86%; 14d, 80%; 14e, 85%; 14f, 100%; 14g, 85%; 14h, 70%), vi) 8, *p*-TsOH (cat.), toluene, reflux (15a, 73%; 15b, 47%; 15c, 65%; 15d, 41%; 15e, 59%; 15f, 18%; 15g, 25%; 15h, 16%), vii) H₂, 10 % Pd on C, AcOH, rt (4a, 44%; 4b, 13%; 4c, 37%; 4d, 21%; 4e, 90%; 4f, 18%; 4g, 84%; 4h, 66%).

The syntheses of 3a-g were accomplished in five steps starting from 5 which is commercially available (Scheme 1). Mannich reaction of 5 with the corresponding alkylamine gave 6a-g, which were reduced to 7a-g by sodium dithionite, respectively. The Friedlander condensation of 7a-g with 8⁸ followed by hydrogenation in acetic acid gave 3a-g.¹⁰ 4a-h were prepared in 7 steps from 10 (Scheme 2). The protected amine (11), obtained by the treatment of amine (10) with trifluoroacetic anhydride in basic condition was subjected to Friedel-Crafts

acylation to give α,β -unsaturated ketone (**12**). Michael addition of **12** with the corresponding alkylamine, followed by *N*-protection using benzyl chloroformate gave **13a–h**, respectively. The hydrolysis of amide **13a–h** afforded **14a–h**. Finally the Friedlander condensation of **14a–h** and **8** followed by hydrogenation in acetic acid produced **4a–h**.¹⁰

Table 1. *In vitro* Cytotoxicity¹¹ of CPT Analogues(**3**, **4**) against Human Tumor Cell Lines¹²(IC₅₀, μ M).

compd.	analogues 3					analogues 4				
	A549	DLD-1	HEC-1-B	CAOV-3	KATO-III	A549	DLD-1	HEC-1-B	CAOV-3	KATO-III
a	9.4×10^{-4}	6.1×10^{-3}	6.06	3.3×10^{-5}	2.9×10^{-2}	5.0×10^{-3}	— ^a	0.19	1.4×10^{-1}	2.9×10^{-1}
b	1.1×10^{-4}	2.6×10^{-2}	2.11	6.3×10^{-5}	0.11	1.4×10^{-2}	>100	>100	>100	2.10
c	2.0×10^{-4}	8.9×10^{-2}	0.67	1.7×10^{-3}	4.5×10^{-2}	8.4×10^{-2}	0.85	12.83	2.32	2.9×10^{-2}
d	9.96	0.65	10.05	21.89	0.11	2.85	4.04	3.65	7.12	1.38
e	7.02	3.12	14.83	1.51	2.38	0.12	0.57	3.29	2.0×10^{-2}	7.4×10^{-2}
f	1.42	1.13	4.68	62.03	0.36	2.37	4.04	3.65	7.12	1.38
g	5.59	1.13	2.71	6.57	0.19	0.76	1.81	3.44	3.5×10^{-2}	1.05
h						>100	>100	12.12	>100	>100
2	2.6×10^{-4}	7.3×10^{-3}	— ^a	4.0×10^{-3}	1.6×10^{-3}	2.6×10^{-4}	7.3×10^{-3}	— ^a	4.0×10^{-3}	1.6×10^{-3}
C(20)(S)-1	3.3×10^{-4}	1.4×10^{-2}	0.06	2.9×10^{-3}	4.6×10^{-2}	3.3×10^{-4}	1.4×10^{-2}	0.06	2.9×10^{-3}	4.6×10^{-2}

^a The test was not performed.

In vitro cytotoxic activities against five human tumor cell lines for the above CPT analogues (**3a–g**, **4a–h**) along with C(20)(S)-**1** and **2** are listed in Table 1. **3a–c** (R; Et, *n*-Pr, *i*-Pr) and **4a–c** (R; Et, *n*-Pr, *i*-Pr) showed 10 to 10^4 times more potency than **3d–g** (R; *n*-Bu, *i*-Bu, *sec*-Bu, 2-methylbutyl) and **4d–h** (R; *n*-Bu, *i*-Bu, *sec*-Bu, 2-methylbutyl, *N,N*-diethylaminoethyl), respectively. Therefore, the more bulky alkyl groups at C(7) in both series of **3a–g** and **4a–h** showed the less cytotoxicity. Generally, methylenedioxy derivatives (**3a–c**) showed higher cytotoxicity than the corresponding ethylenedioxy derivatives (**4a–c**). It is thought that the ethylenedioxy group cannot hold the coplanarity with A, B, C–ring of CPT which is required to interact with DNA and Topo I complex. **3a–c** showed comparable or more potent cytotoxicity than C(20)(S)-**1** and **2** depending on cell lines. Especially, 50–100 times more potent cytotoxicity of **3a** and **3b** in comparison with C(20)(S)-**1** and **2** in CAOV-3 cell line gives us possible chance to develop specifically effective antitumor agent against ovarian cancer. Considering that **3a** and **3b** were racemate, the optical active **3a** and **3b** would show even higher cytotoxicity. The study of chiral **3a** and **3b** is currently being investigated.

Acknowledgment: This research was supported by grants of the '98 Good Health R & D project from the Ministry of Health & Welfare, R. O. K. and the Research Center of New Drug Development of Seoul National University.

References and Notes:

1. Wall, M. E.; Wani, M. C.; Cook, C. E.; Palmer, K. H.; McPhail, A. T.; Sim, G. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1966**, *88*, 3888.
2. a) Hsiang, Y.-H.; Hertzberg, R. P.; Hecht, S.; Liu, L. F. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1985**, *260*, 14873. Hsiang, Y.-H.; Liu, L. F.; Wall, M. E.; Wani, M. C.; Nicholas, A. W.; Manikumar, G.; Kirschenbaum, S.; Silber, R.; Potmesil, M. *Cancer Res.* **1989**, *49*, 4385. b) Wall, M. E.; Wani, M. C. *Human Medicinal Agents from Plants, Chapter 11. "Camptothecin and Analogues"*; in Kinghorn, A. D. and Balandrin, M. F., Ed; ACS Symposium Series 534, Am. Chem. Soc.; Washington, DC, **1993**; pp. 149-169 and literature cited therein.
3. Gottlieb, J. A.; Luce, J. K. *Cancer Chemother. Rep.* **1972**, *56*, 103.
4. Sawada, S.; Okajima, S.; Aiyama, R.; Nokada, K.; Furuta, T.; Yokokura, T.; Sugino, E.; Yamanouchi, K.; Miyasaka, T. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1991**, *39*, 1446.
5. Kingsbury, W. D.; Boehm, T. C.; Jakas, D. R.; Holden, K. G.; Hecht, S. M.; Gallagher, G.; Caranfa, M. J.; McCabe, F. L.; Faoucette, L. F.; Johnson, R. K.; Hertzberg, R. P. *J. Med. Chem.* **1991**, *34*, 98.
6. Jew, S.-s.; Kim, M. G.; Kim, H.-J.; Rho, E.-Y.; Park, H.-g. *Korean J. Med. Chem.* **1996**, *6*, 263.
7. Sawada, S.; Matsuoka, S. I.; Nukata, K. I.; Nogata, H.; Furuta, T.; Yokokura, T.; Miyasaka, T. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1991**, *39*, 3183.
8. a) Jew, S.-s.; Ok, K. D.; Kim, H.-J.; Kim, M. G.; Kim, J. M.; Hah, J. M.; Cho, Y. S. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **1995**, *6*, 1245. b) Jew, S.-s.; Kim, H.-J.; Kim, M. G.; Roh, E.-Y.; Cho, Y. S.; Kim, J.-K.; Cha, K. H.; Lee, K. K.; Han, H. J.; Choi, J. Y.; Lee, H. *Bioorg. & Med. Chem. Lett.* **1996**, *6*, 845. c) Jew, S.-s.; Kim, M. G.; Kim, H.-J.; Roh, E.-Y.; Cho, Y. S.; Kim, J.-K.; Cha, K. H.; Lee, K. K.; Han, H. J.; Lee, H. *Bioorg. & Med. Chem. Lett.* **1996**, *6*, 849. d) Jew, S.-s.; Kim, M. G.; Kim, H.-J.; Roh, E.-Y.; Park, H.-g.; Kim, J.-K.; Han, H. J.; Lee, H. *Bioorg. & Med. Chem. Lett.* **1998**, *8*, 1797.
9. Lee, J.-H.; Lee, J.-M.; Kim, J.-K.; Ahn, S.-K.; Lee, S.-J.; Kim, M.-Y.; Jew, S.-s.; Park, J.-G.; Hong, C. I. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* **1998**, *21*, 581 and literature cited therein.
10. All new compounds gave satisfactory spectroscopic data consistent with the proposed structures.
11. Skehan, P.; Storeng, R.; Scudiero, D.; Monks, A.; McMahon, J.; Vistica, D.; Warren, J. T.; Bokesch, H.; Kenny, S.; Boyd, M. R. *J. Med. Natl. Canc. Inst.* **1990**, *82*, 1107.
12. *In vitro* antiproliferative activities of the analogues against five tumor cell lines (A549, human lung cancer; DLD-1, human colon cancer; HEC-1-B, endometrial cancer; CAOV-3, human ovarian cancer; KATO-III, human gastric cancer) were measured by SRB assay¹¹ after 3 days of incubation and expressed as the doses required to inhibit the growth of 50% of the cells cultivated (IC_{50} , μ M).